IFW



Docket No.: NOOT 8500U1 Date: January 19, 2006

In re application of: Joseph E. Schroeder						
Serial No.: 10/677,443	Group No.: 3749					
Filed: 10/02/2003	Examiner: Gregory A. Wilson					
For: ONCE-THROUGH EVAPORATOR FOR A STEAM GENERATOR						

Mail Stop <u>PETITIONS</u>
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **TRANSMITTAL**

Transmitted herewith is:

 $\boxtimes$ A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT in the above-designated application in response to the Decision on Petition mailed January 9, 2006; A Supplemental Declaration of Edward A. Boeschenstein with the  $\boxtimes$ following enclosures: 1. A true copy (reduced in size) of the cover for the file jacket for the above-designated application; 2. A report for the above-designated application generated by law firm's commercial patent program data base; and 3. A copy of the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement for the above-designated application that was sent to the Patent Office on June 14, 2005.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit overpayment under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to

An additional fee is required.

Deposit Account 162201. Duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Edward A. Boeschenstein

Registration No: 22,986

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop <u>PETITIONS</u>, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on January 19, 2006.

Priward A Roeschenstein Reg. No. 22 086

OTTE 18
JAN 2 8 2006 (4)
TO TRADE WADDI. N

MADDI. No.	T:	10/677,443
Applicant	:	Joseph E. Schroeder
Filed	:	10/02/2003
Title	:	ONCE-THROUGH EVAPORATOR FOR A STEAM GENERATOR
TC/A.U.	:	3749
Examiner	:	Gregory A. Wilson
Conf. No.	:	9001
Docket No.	:	NOOT 8500U1

Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

This paper responds to a Decision on Petition mailed from the Patent Office on January 9, 2006, in connection with the above-designated patent application. The decision dismissed the applicant's petition to withdraw a holding of abandonment, but contained an offer to reconsider that decision upon a request filed within two months. This Request for Reconsideration serves that purpose.

By way of background, the Patent Office rendered its initial action in the above-designated application on March 29, 2004. Applicant did not become aware of the action until September 30, 2004, when the examiner to whom the application is assigned called, inquiring if applicant intended to abandon the application. Applicant immediately filed its Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mailstop PETITIONS, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on January19, 2006.

Edward A. Boeschenstein, Reg. No. 22,986

1-19-06 Date of Signature Abandonment/January 19, 2006

In preparing the petition the undersigned attorney followed the guidelines set forth in MPEP 711.03(c) (1) (A) which is entitled "Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure to Receive Office Action". Those guidelines require:

- A statement from the practitioner confirming that the official action was not received.
- A statement from the practitioner attesting that a search of the file jacket indicates that the official action was not received.
- A statement from the practitioner that the docket records indicate that the official action was not received.
- A copy of the docket record for the due date where to the official action would have been entered, had the official action been received.

The Declaration of Edward A. Boeschenstein that accompanied the petition met the foregoing requirements.

The petitions examiner, however, contends that a petition to withdraw a holding of abandonment must also contain a copy of the file jacket showing entry of all actions from the Patent Office. Applicant cannot find within MPEP 711.03(c) (1) (A) or the notice that appeared at 1156 OG 53 any requirement for submission of file jacket entries, although the latter does refer to the file jacket as one form of evidence suitable under an old and burdensome procedure that is no longer in effect.

Appl. No. 10/677,443

Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Withdraw Holding of

Abandonment/January 19, 2006

Be that as it may, applicant submits herewith the Supplemental Declaration of

Edward A. Boeschenstein. That declaration introduces a copy (reduced in size) of the

file jacket for the application as it appeared during the spring, summer, and fall of 2004.

Also, using a commercial computer program, the law office records events in

patent applications, including receipt of official actions, all as explained in the

Supplemental Declaration. The computer report for the application bears no reference

to the official action of March 29, 2004, further indicating that it was never received.

Further evidence that the law firm did not receive the official action of March 29,

2004 resides in the fact that the undersigned attorney on June 17, 2004, submitted a

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement with a representation that no fee was

due because the document was submitted "before the first action on the merits". The

undersigned attorney could not have ethically made that representation had he been

aware of the official action of March 29, 2004.

In view of the foregoing favorable consideration of the "Request for

Reconsideration of Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment" and dispatch of the

Appl. No. 10/677,443 Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment/January 19, 2006

office action are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward A. Boeschenstein

Reg. No. 22,986

Polster, Lieder, Woodruff & Lucchesi, L.C.

12412 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 200

St. Louis, Missouri 63131 Phone (314) 238-2400

Fax (314) 238-2401



Appl. No.	:	10/677,443
Applicant	:	Joseph E. Schroeder
Filed	:	10/02/2003
Title	:	ONCE-THROUGH EVAPORATOR FOR A STEAM GENERATOR
TC/A.U.	:	3749
Examiner	:	Gregory A. Wilson
Conf. No.	:	9001
Docket No.	:	NOOT 8500U1

#### SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF EDWARD A. BOESCHENSTEIN

Edward A. Boeschenstein declares as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney registered to practice before the United States
  Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the prosecution of patent
  applications. I practice law with the law firm of Polster, Lieder, Woodruff &
  Lucchesi in St. Louis, Missouri, having been a partner in that firm at all times
  relevant to the matters discussed in this declaration.
- 2. On October 4, 2002, I filed on behalf of Joseph E. Schroeder and his employer, Nooter/Eriksen, Inc., U.S. provisional patent application 60/416,083 for a "Once-Through Evaporator with Twisted Tape Inserts". Later I prepared and filed the above-designated nonprovisional application which derives priority from the provisional application, having sent that nonprovisional application to the U.S. Patent Office by Express Mail on October 2, 2003.
- 3. On October 3, 2004, after having been informed that the application had lapsed into abandonment for lack of a response to an official action mailed on March 29, 2004, I filed a Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment. The

Certificate of Mailing

Edward A. Boeschenstein, Reg. No. 22,986

petition, while it referred to the file jacket for the application, did not include a copy of the jacket cover where official actions and responses are recorded.

- 4. A true copy, although reduced in size, of the cover for the file jacket of the above-designated application as it existed during the spring, summer, and fall 2004 is attached.
- 5. When the law firm receives an official action from the Patent Office, that official action is directed immediately to a paralegal having responsibility for patent applications. The paralegal enters information concerning the official action into a computer data base controlled in part by a commercial patent program. A report generated by that program for the above-designated application is attached. The "List of Actions" section of the report contains no reference to the official action of March 29, 2004, indicating that the official action was never received. The paralegal, upon receiving an official action, also enters the action in a docketing system, and that system produced the report that was attached to the Declaration filed with the original Petition. Finally, the paralegal retrieves the file for the application to which the official action pertains and enters the official action on the cover of the file jacket under "P.O. Actions". Only then is the file together with the official action delivered to the attorney responsible for the application.
- 6. On June 17, 2004, after having received an International Search Report for a PCT application corresponding to the above-designated application, I sent to the Patent Office a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement citing the references identified in the International Search Report. The

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/677,443
SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF EDWARD A. BOESCHENSTEIN

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement states: "the U.S. Patent Office

(ISA/US) dispatched the search report... "before the first action on the merits.

Therefore, no fee is due". I would not have released the Supplemental

Information Disclosure Statement with that representation had I been aware of

the official action of March 29, 2004. A copy of the Supplemental Information

Disclosure Statement is attached.

7. All statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and all

statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. These

statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the

like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both under section 1001

of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may

jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Edward A. Boeschenstein

Date: VAN JOUNT

Name JOSEPH E SCHROEDER	
Vention ONCE-THROUGH ENAPORAT	OR FOR A STEAM GENERATOR
esidence	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
O. Address	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
eceived	ssociate Identification
mall Entity	Application Docu/Disk
eclaration required? No Yes	
P.O. Actions	<u>Amendments</u>
Mailed <u>Due</u> <u>Extended To</u>	Mailed Card Received Docu/Disk
	2
Charged to NOOT 8500 UI	Drawing Corrections
ssigned to Nooter/Eriksen Inc.	Required? Yes No Ordered
ecorded 10-2-03	Allowed
el 14568 Frame 720-722	Final Fee Paid
	Patented
	Patent No
·	
······································	WO
ė.	

© 1996 POLSTER LIEDER WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI, L.C.

## **Country Application**

Thursday, January 19, 2006

Case Number: 08500

Country: US

SubCase: U1

Docket Number: 8500

**Client: NOOTER CORPORATION** 

United States of America

Application Title: ONCE-THROUGH EVAPORATOR WITH TWISTED TAPE INSERTS

Case Type: ORD

Application Status: Abandoned

**Application Number: 10/677443** 

Filing Date: 02-Oct-2003

Publication Number: 04-0069244

Publication Date: 15-Apr-2004

Patent Number:

**Issue Date:** 

Parent/PCT Number: 60/416083

Parent/PCT Date: 04-Oct-2002

Parent Issue Number:

Parent Issue Date:

Agent:

**Expiration Date:** 

PTA: 0

Agent Reference No.:

Tax Schedule: LE

Confirmation #:

Remarks: 1/12/06 - Decision on Petition rcvd - DISMISSED

05 Oct 2004 - Petition to Withdrawal Abandonment faxed to USPTO

21 June 2004 - IDS rcvd in PTO per post card receipt

### **List Of Actions**

Action(s) Due	Due Date		Action Taken
Postcard Follow-Up	02-Dec-2003	Due Date	17-Oct-2003
Filing Receipt Follow-Up	02-Jan-2004	Due Date	12-Jan-2004
Information Disclosure Stmnt	02-Jan-2004	Due Date	02-Oct-2003
Application Status Check	02-Apr-2005	Due Date	12-Apr-2005
Application Sta Follow Up Date	12-Oct-2005	Due Date	12-Jan-2006
Request for Reconsideration of Petition	09-Mar-2006	Due Date	

User ID: mc

Date Created: 23-Sep-2003

Last Update: 12-Jan-2006



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Joseph E. Schroeder

GROUP: 3749

SERIAL NO.: 10/677,443

**EXAMINER:** 

FILED: October 2, 2003

DOCKET NO.: NOOT 8500U1

FOR: ONCE-THROUGH EVAPORATOR FOR A STEAM GENERATOR

St. Louis, Missouri June 17, 2004

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT **PURSUANT TO §1.97 AND § 1.98**

Sir:

The information, patents and/or literature references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449, may be relevant to the examination of this application.

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.97(e), the undersigned attorney hereby certifies that to the best of his information and belief that the seven references cited on Form PTO 1449 were first cited in a communication from the United States Patent Office; that the communication pertains to International Patent Application PCT/US03/31174 which is the counterpart of U.S. Application 10/677,443; and that the U.S. Patent Office (ISA/US) dispatched the search report not more than three months ago and before the first action on the merits. Therefore, no fee is due.

The last page of the International Search Report is likewise submitted herewith to show the degree of relevance accorded the individual references. Specifically, section C of the International Search Report identifies the category of relevance for each reference cited and claimed. The claims of the International application generally correspond to the original claims in the U.S. application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit overpayment under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required by this paper to Deposit Account 162201. *Duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed*.

Date: June 17, 2004

Edward A. Boeschenstein

Respectfully submitted

Registration No. 22,986

POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF

& LUCCHESI, L.C.

12412 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63131

(314) 238-2400

(314) 238-2401 (fax)

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA22313-1450 on June 17, 2004.

Edward A. Boeschenstein, Reg. No. 22,986

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY. DOCKET NO.: NOOT 8500U1 SERIAL NO.: 10/677,443

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

APPLICANT: Joseph E. Schroeder

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Filing Date: 10/02/2003 Group: 3749

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number							r			Kind Code (click here)	Patent Issue Date OR US App. Pub. Date OR US Non-Published Application Filing Date	Name	
	US	6	4	4	6	5	8	0					09/10/2002	Franke et al.
	US	5	6	6	2	0	7	0			L		09/02/1997	Kastner et al.
	US	4	9	8	7	8	6	2					01/29/1991	Wittchow et al.
	US	3	1	3	3	5	2	8					05/19/1964	Litwinoff
	US	6	5	3	0	4	2	1					03/11/2003	Filius et al.
	US	5	8	0	6	4	7	0					09/15/1998	Konishi et al.
	US	5	3	4	1	7	6	9					08/30/1994	Ueno et al.

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initial				Translation							
	Document Number							Date	Country	Yes	No
							コ				
	$\top$						$\neg$	-		<del>-   -  </del>	

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

!					<u>-</u>						
		·					-	-	 		
			-			"					
									_	•	 

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
1	

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

(Form PTO-1449)

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/31174

			·							
	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		•							
IPC(7)	: F22B 15/00	·								
US CL	: 122/235.17, 367.3, 6A; 165/175, 184 o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	stional alassification and IDC	•							
	LDS SEARCHED	ational classification and IPC								
			<del></del>							
Minimum do U.S. : 1	ocumentation searched (classification system followed 122/1B, 6A, 235.14, 235.15, 235.17, 235.23, 367.1, 3	by classification symbols) 367.3, 406.4; 165/174, 175, 176, 179, 18	1, 182, 183, 184							
Documentati	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched							
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (nam	ne of data base and, where practicable, sea	arch terms used)							
	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a		Relevant to claim No.							
X.	US 6,446,580 A (FRANKE et al) 10 September 200	72, Figs. 1-2.	1-4, 6-7, 8-10, 12-13,							
	TIC 5 CCO 070 A CCA 0777 TOD W 00 C		14-18							
Х	US 5,662,070 A (KASTNER et al) 02 September 19	997, Figs. 1-2.	14-18							
x.	US 4,987,862 A (WITTCHOW et al) 29 January 19	991, Figs. 1-6.	1-4, 6-7, 8-10, 12-13, 14-18							
х	US 3,133,528 A (LITWINOFF) 19 May 1964, Figs	. 1-17.	1-4, 6-7, 8-10, 12-13,							
A, P	US 6,530,421 A (FILIUS et al) 11 March 2003, Fig	zs 1-6.	14-18 1-18							
A:	US 5,806,470 A (KONISHI et al) 15 September 199	98, Figs. 1-3.	1-18							
. A	US 5,341,769 A (UENO et al) 30 August 1994, Fig	e 1_31	1-18							
	os sistinos ir (oziro et al) so riugust 1554, i ig	S. 1-11.	1-10							
			· ·							
	_									
Further	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	1							
* S	Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the in	ternational filing date or priority							
"A" document of particu	at defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	date and not in conflict with the appli principle or theory underlying the in-	ication but cited to understand the							
"E" earlier ap	optication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consid when the document is taken alone	e claimed invention cannot be ered to involve an inventive step							
"L" documen	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	· ·								
specified)	•	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive su combined with one or more other suc	p when the document is							
	being obvious to a person stritted in the art									
priority d	n published prior to the international filing date but later than the date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent	•							
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	12°APR 2004							
	2004 (20.02.2004)		)							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US  Authorized officer										
Cor	mmissioner for Patents	Hiping La-								
	0. Box 1450 exandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. 703 308-1113								
	o. (703)305-3230									
	A/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)	I.								
	· / \/									